

MAY 1, 2011: SECOND SUNDAY OF EASTER

Acts 2:42-47 I Peter 1:3-9 John 20:19-31

Our sacred authors aren't historians in our sense of the word. Though at times they seem to narrate events just as they happened, they're much more interested in conveying faith than history. Because it's at the center of our faith, our Christian biblical authors are especially trying to give their readers the implications of the risen Jesus present among them.

Without employing our modern terms "historical Jesus" and "risen Jesus," they presume their communities know there's a difference in the way we perceive Jesus before and after his resurrection. The I Peter author, for instance, is obviously speaking about the Jesus who lived between 6 BCE and 30 CE when he writes, "Although you have not seen him you love him." But he's referring to the risen Jesus when he states, "Even though you do not see him yet you believe in him." The historical Jesus could have been experienced by anyone who lived during his earthly existence: the risen Jesus, on the other hand, can only be surfaced by those who believe in him.

John parallels this teaching by having the risen Jesus state, "Have you come to believe because you have seen me? Blessed are those who have not seen and have believed."

Scripture scholars always remind us there's nothing in our Christian Scriptures written by anyone who knew the historical Jesus. Whatever we know about Jesus comes from people familiar only with the risen Jesus. That's one of the reasons our sacred authors constantly praise people of faith. On many levels, they're hoping we're sharing their own faith experiences.

This explains why death so often constitutes the background against which someone comes into contact with the risen Jesus. The connection is obvious in our gospel pericope. Thomas insists on "seeing the marks of the nail in his hands, and putting my finger into the nail marks and my hand into his side." One can't be certain Jesus has risen unless he or she is first certain he died.

Luke implicitly demonstrates the same death/life connection in our Acts passage. Notice how he describes the early Jerusalem Christian community. "All who believed were together and had all things in common; they would sell their property and possessions and divide them among all according to each one's need."

Only those who die enough to themselves by sharing what they have with others will rise to a new life, as Jesus their mentor has. But in the process, they'll also experience the risen Jesus as an essential part of that life.

The author of I Peter seems to be referring to the same kind of self-giving death Luke demands of his Jerusalem community when he writes, "In this you rejoice, although now for a little while you may have to suffer through various trials, so that the genuineness of your faith ... may prove to be for praise, glory and honor at the revelation of Jesus Christ." As we know from many other early Christian writers, the "trials" which Jesus' followers are expected to endure aren't usually inflicted from "outside." They come from "within;" inherent in the act of giving ourselves to others.

The risen Jesus becomes evident only when we attempt to open ourselves to others, in whatever way we can.

That's why it's no accident that John connects Jesus' Easter Sunday appearance with his command to forgive others. "Receive the Holy Spirit. Whose sins you forgive are forgiven them, and whose sins you retain are retained." The consequences of not offering forgiveness isn't just that people's sins aren't forgiven, it's also that the risen Jesus isn't experienced.

Roger Vermalen Karban

This commentary is provided by the FAITHFUL OF SOUTHERN ILLINOS. Please share it with a friend. We appreciate your comments and donations.

FOSIL, BOX 31, BELLEVILLE, IL 62222

MAY 8, 2011: THIRD SUNDAY OF EASTER  
Acts 2:14,22-33 I Peter 1:17-21 Luke 24:13-35

Because of the tabernacles in our churches, we Catholics could easily miss some of what Luke is trying to tell us in today's gospel pericope.

Reserving the Blessed Sacrament is a rather late development in the church's history. As a seminarian in Rome in the mid-60s, I'd sometimes participate in a game of "find the original tabernacle" in some of the eternal city's oldest churches. Most of the time there was no tabernacle to find. At most there'd be a small metal door covering a hollowed out area in a wall or pillar in which pieces of the Eucharistic bread earmarked for the sick or imprisoned were kept. Never were these in a central place, or constructed in such a way that the faithful could gather for "Eucharistic devotion" in front of them.

Well-known theologian Karl Rahner was convinced that the earliest Christians believed the risen Jesus was only present in the Eucharistic bread and wine as long as the Eucharistic community was present. When the Lord's Supper was finished, they seemed to think he was no longer present in any "leftovers," except in those earmarked pieces I mentioned above. They knew nothing about a "generic presence" of Jesus which could be kept secure and unchanging in a special revered receptacle. Thus they saw no reason to have tabernacles.

Even during my Christmas homilies, I always remind the community that Christmas can never be compared to Easter. If the Galilean carpenter we imitate hadn't risen from the dead, we never would have started celebrating his birth. That's why Luke's Peter puts the resurrection at the center of his Acts Pentecost speech. "God raised this Jesus;" Peter proclaims, "of this we are all witnesses."

The author of I Peter agrees. This anonymous writer quickly cuts to the heart of Christian belief. "He (Jesus) was known from the foundation of the world but revealed in the final time for you, who through him believe in God who raised him from the dead and gave him glory, so that your faith and hope are in God."

If Jesus' resurrection is the most important part of our faith, where and how is he the most alive and among us? Luke's Emmaus narrative provides us with a black and white answer.

Notice how Jesus starts his encounter with his "wrong-way" disciples. "Beginning with Moses and all the prophets, he interpreted to them what referred to him in all the Scriptures." Commentators frequently refer to his use of Scripture as the "Liturgy of the Word." It's eventually followed by the disciples urging him to stay with them, even sharing a meal: the "Liturgy of the Bread." "It happened that, while he was with them at table, he took bread, said the blessing, broke it, and gave it to them. With that their eyes were opened and they recognized him ..." The risen Jesus surfaces in the action we most anticipate finding him: the Eucharist.

Of course, following early Christian belief, he immediately "vanished from their sight." The understanding is that he'll again be recognizable the next time they gather for the Lord's Supper. It's important that Luke has the two tell their fellow disciples that he was made known to them not in the bread, but in the breaking of the bread; in the whole action of sharing a Eucharistic meal.

For good reason our diocesan cathedral, remodeled immediately after Vatican II, located its tabernacle in a special Blessed Sacrament Chapel, distinct from the area in which the community normally gathers on weekends to break bread. Though we certainly believe in Jesus' presence in the reserved Eucharistic bread, back then, fresh from the council's liturgical insights and dependence on Scripture, we didn't want that reserved presence to be a distraction to our surfacing the risen Jesus in our midst during the actual celebration of the Eucharist. We were encouraged to experience him/her in a way different from his tabernacle presence.

Roger Vermalen Karban

This commentary is provided by the FAITHFUL OF SOUTHERN ILLINOS. Please share it with a friend. We appreciate your comments and donations.  
FOSIL, BOX 31, BELLEVILLE, IL 62222